THE QUESTIONS POWERS FACES

THE ANSWERS?

By Robert J. Donavan Chief Washington Correspondent WASHINGTON.

A number of key questions await answers as U-2 pilot Francis Gary Powers goes before a Senate committee today to be interrogated publicly for the first time in this country about his fateful flight over the Soviet Union on May 1, 1960.

Following are ten questions or sets of related questions that students of the U-2 affair would like to see answered in detail. In italios

after most of them are unofficial explanations as pieced together from what has been learned either from Mr. Powe ers' testimony in Moscow of from informed sources. In certain cases, on the other hand, no explanation has even been hinted at.

1. How did the destruction mechanism of Mr. Powers' plane work? What was he supposed to do to operate this mechanism? What didn't he blow the plane up in flight rather than let it fall into Russian hands?

Mr. Powers was told that he should, if hit, eject from the plane and it would then blow up. He testified in Moscow, however, that he could not follow these instructions because "foroks" in the falling plans pinned him against the instrüment panel board. Subsequently a letter that appeared in Pravda over his signature described as "G" (for granity) forces.

2. Was he under instructions, within the framework of his \$30,000-a-year contract, to avoid capture at all costs, including, if necessary, suicide by the poisoned needle he carried?

Fron the outset Central Intelligence Agency sources have said that Mr. Powers was not under orders to kill himself to avert capture. The needle, they maintain, was to be used, if he so chose, as a way out of torture.

3. What were his instructions in the event he was captured?

These never have been disclosed. Evidently, he was excouraged to do all he could to avoid capture, however. The 38-caliber pistol, with silencer, the ine carried was for hunting, same to eat, testified.

4. Why wid he give f Russians so thany dependent of the war saptured he later at his trial?

In part at least-and haps in very large par was only telling them t they wiek anyhow. S the plane landed Sverdlovsk in fairly condition, they possessed physical evidence of f eras, radios, tape ra and the like.

The American yet has no way of knowing the answers. If he was to tured, he did not say so bt h trial in Moscoco.

6. Did his plane underne any kind of power fallure of flame-out on the flighthin sal

The answer is not known for sure. He testified to fious.

7. Can he shed any furth ray of light on what the something" (this was to term he used at his trial) was that knocked his plane down from an altitude of 68,000 feet? Was it, for example, on air-to-air rocket fired by a Soviet fighter plane. Did he see any other plane in the air? Or was he his by a rocket fired from the ground with the capability of disabling a plane flying at 68,000 feet?

Mr. Powers fastified that he saw the venor trail of what he assumed was enother plane for below him, He did not specify whether this was just before som hit. The United States has ground-toair rockets capable of hitting a plane at 68,000 feet and the presumption is that the Russians also have such a rocket, perhaps one that can strike even higher then \$8,000 feet. The question that affects American strategic planning is the accuracy of this Soviet Focket. It is not clear whether Mr. Powers' plane was downed by a hit or a near-miss. He testified, "I felt a sort of hollow-sound explosion. It seemed to be behind me. I could see an grange flash ar an orange-colored light be-And me."

3. Does he have any information as to whether any ther U-2 pilots destroyed hielr planes or themselves juring espionage flights?

Beyond some rumors to this effect, nothing is known to this public.

8. What, if anything, was he told in his Russian tall about the decision free him to American authorities in return for release of the Boviet master spy, Col. Rudolf Ivanovich Abel, who was imprisoned in the United States?

This is a matter still veiled in secreci.

10. Dose Mr. Powers believe that his flight was an "Mi service" to the United States? Whether he still thinks this

Approved For Relation 1000 108 CAPPER 5.0000 1700 0000 181-6

Solviet Jail? Did he sulfate the form of undue duress of form of undue duress of forthcoming areas conference.